Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/287,556	VANCURA ET AL.	VANCURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sam Rimell	2165		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is si	this application. If not include nication will be mailed in due	ded e course. <b>THIS</b>	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>1/27/05</u> .				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 23-35.				
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on $\underline{7/29/02; 6/3/04}$ are accepted by the	Examiner.			
4.	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file fileNT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath or	n No in this national stage application that is national stage application that is not stage application.	equirements	
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of the prope</li></ul>	. s Amendment / Comment or .84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of e drawings in the front (not th	e back) of	
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	SIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATE FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	RIAL must be submitted. LOGICAL MATERIAL.	Note the	
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./I 98), 7. ☐ Examiner's A	ormal Patent Application (PT mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for All Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2165	owance	

## Reasons for Allowance

Claims 23-35 are pending. Claims 23, 28, 29 and 33 are independent.

The closest identified prior art is U.S. Patent 5,743,800 to Huard et al.

Claim 23: Claim 23 calls for a method of playing a game of Baccarat including

displaying a pay table to one or a plurality of players playing a Baccarat game, placing a

Baccarat tie wager and rewarding at least one wagering player with a payout. Claim 23 differs

from Huard et al. in defining the step of having a pay table display a plurality of winning

Baccarat tie hands and rewarding the payout only when one of said displayed plurality of

Baccarat tie hands occurs during play.

Claim 28: Claim 28 calls for a method of playing a game of Baccarat including

displaying a pay table to one or a plurality of players playing a Baccarat game, placing a

Baccarat tie wager and rewarding at least one wagering player with a payout. Claim 28 differs

from Huard et al. in defining the step of having a pay table display a plurality of winning

Baccarat tie hands and rewarding the payout only when one of said displayed plurality of

Baccarat tie hands occurs during play.

Claim 29: Claim 29 calls for a method of playing a game of Baccarat including

displaying a pay table to one or a plurality of players playing a Baccarat game, placing a

Baccarat tie wager and rewarding at least one wagering player with a payout. Claim 29 differs

from Huard et al. in defining the step of having a pay table display a plurality of winning

Baccarat tie hands and rewarding the payout only when one of said displayed plurality of

Baccarat tie hands occurs during play.

Application/Control Number: 09/287,556

Art Unit: 2165

Page 3

Claim 33: Claim 33 calls for a method of playing a game of Baccarat including

displaying a pay table to one or a plurality of players playing a Baccarat game, placing a

Baccarat tie wager and rewarding at least one wagering player with a payout. Claim 33 differs

from Huard et al. in defining the step of having a pay table display a plurality of winning

Baccarat tie hands and rewarding the payout only when one of said displayed plurality of

Baccarat tie hands occurs during play.

Claims 23, 28, 29 and 33 are therefore allowable. Claims 24-27, 30-32 and 34-35 are

dependent therefrom and allowable as well.

SAM RIMELL

DRIMARY FXAMINER